arXiv:1511.01947v1 [math.GR] 5 Nov 2015

THE PRO-NILPOTENT GROUP TOPOLOGY ON A FREE GROUP

J. ALMEIDA, M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN AND B. STEINBERG

Abstract. In this paper, we work on the pro-nilpotent group topology of a free group. First we investigate the closure of the product of finitely many subgroups of a free group in the pro-nilpotent group topology. We present an algorithm for the calculation of the closure in the pro-nilpotent group topology of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of a free group. Then we deduce that the *nil*-closure of a rational subset is computable. We also prove that the pseudovarieties Vⓜ$\mathsf{G_{nil}}$, for every decidable pseudovariety of monoids V, and $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$ are decidable.

1. Introduction

Hall showed that every finitely generated subgroup of the free group is closed in the profinite topology [6]. Pin and Reutenauer conjectured that if $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_n$ are finitely generated subgroups of the free group, then the product $H_1H_2\cdots H_n$ is closed in the profinite topology [12]. Based on that conjecture they presented a simple algorithm to compute the closure of a given rational subset of the free group. This conjecture was proved by Ribes and Zalesskiĭ [14], who also latter proved that if the subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are p-closed for some prime p, then $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is p-closed, too [15]. Margolis, Sapir and Weil presented a procedure to compute the *nil*-closure of a finitely generated subgroup of the free group [10]. In this paper, we show that if the subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are *nil*-closed, then in general $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is not *nil*-closed. We prove that the *nil*-closure of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups is computable. Based on this result, we prove that the *nil*-closure of a rational subset of a free monoid is computable. This yields that the pseudovariety Vⓜ$\mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is decidable for every decidable pseudovariety of monoids V, where $\mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is the pseudovariety of nilpotent groups.

Auinger and the third author introduced the concept of arboreous pseudovarieties of groups [2]. They proved that a pseudovariety of groups H is arboreous if and only if Jⓜ$\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{J} * \mathsf{H}$. The pseudovariety $\mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is not arboreous. It follows Jⓜ$\mathsf{G_{nil}} \neq \mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$. We prove that the pseudovariety $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is also decidable.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20M07, 20M35, 20F10.
Keywords and phrases: profinite topologies, rational languages, automata, monoids, block groups, semidirect products, pseudovarieties, Mal'cev products.

2. Decidability of the *nil*-closure of rational subsets

Let G be a group and H a pseudovariety of groups. Then the pro-H topology on G is defined by taking as a basis of neighborhoods of 1 all normal subgroups N of G such that $G/N \in \mathsf{H}$. One then makes G into a topological group in the standard way. This is the weakest topology on G so that every homomorphism of G to a group in H (endowed with the discrete topology) is continuous. We say that G is *residually* H if, for any $g \in G\backslash\{1\}$, there is a homomorphism $\phi\colon G \to H \in \mathsf{H}$ with $\phi(g) \neq 1$, or, equivalently, $\{1\}$ is a closed subgroup. In this case, the pro-H topology is Hausdorff and, in fact, is metric when G is finitely generated. More precisely, when G is finitely generated, the topology is given by the following ultrametric écart. For $g \in G$, define

$$r(g) = \min(\{[G : N] \mid G/N \in \mathsf{H}, g \notin N\} \cup \{\infty\}).$$

Then the H-pseudonorm is given by

$$|g|_{\mathsf{H}} = 2^{-r(g)} \text{ (where } 2^{\infty} = 0).$$

One can verify that

$$|g_1 g_2|_{\mathsf{H}} \leq \max\{|g_1|_{\mathsf{H}}, |g_2|_{\mathsf{H}}\},$$

For $g,g_2 \in G$, we define

$$d_{\mathsf{H}}(g_1, g_2) = \left|g_1 g_2^{-1}\right|_{\mathsf{H}}.$$

It is easy to see that this is an ultrametric écart defining the pro-H topology, which is a metric if and only if G is residually H. The pseudovariety H is said to be extension-closed if, whenever $1 \to N \to G \to H \to 1$ is an exact sequence of groups with $N, H \in \mathsf{H}$, we also have $G \in \mathsf{H}$.

We use $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{H}}(X)$ to denote the closure of $X \subseteq G$ in the pro-H topology. If p is a prime, then $\mathsf{G_p}$ denotes the pseudovariety of finite p-groups. We denote by $\mathsf{G_{nil}}$ the pseudovariety of finite nilpotent groups. We talk of p-closure, p-denseness, etc. instead of $\mathsf{G_p}$-closure, $\mathsf{G_p}$-denseness, etc. We also talk of *nil*-closure, *nil*-denseness, etc. instead of $\mathsf{G_{nil}}$-closure, $\mathsf{G_{nil}}$-denseness, etc.

Let H be a finitely generated subgroup of the free group F. Margolis, Sapir and Weil proved that the *nil*-closure of H is the intersection over all primes p of the p-closures of H [10, Corollary 4.1]. The following straightforward lemma gives a way to calculate the H-closure of an arbitrary subset of a group with pro-H topology for a pseudovariety H of groups.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be a group with its pro-H topology, and let X be a subset of F. Then the H-closure of X is the intersection over all $H \in \mathsf{H}$ and onto homomorphisms $\phi : F \to H$ of the subsets $\phi^{-1}\phi(X)$.*

Let G be a group in the pro-H topology, $X \subseteq G$, and $g \in G$. From [17, Lemma 5.10], it follows that $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{H}}(Xg) = \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{H}}(X)g$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{H}}(gX) = g\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{H}}(X)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let F be a group and $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be subgroups of F. Then the nil-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is the intersection over all primes p of the p-closures of $H_1 \cdots H_n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $x \in \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(H_1 \cdots H_n)$. Then for every homomorphism $\phi\colon F \to G$ onto a finite nilpotent group G, $\phi(x) \in \phi(H_1 \cdots H_n)$. Hence, for every homomorphism $\phi\colon F \to P$ onto a finite p-group P, $\phi(x) \in \phi(H_1 \cdots H_n)$. Then, $x \in \mathrm{Cl}_p(H_1 \cdots H_n)$ for every prime p and, thus, x is in the intersection over all primes p of the p-closures of $H_1 \cdots H_n$.

Now suppose that an element x is in the intersection of the p-closures of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ over all primes p and $\phi\colon F \to G$ is a homomorphism onto a finite nilpotent group G.

The group G is a finite nilpotent group and, thus, G is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups. Suppose that the Sylow subgroups of G are $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ where P_j is a p_j-Sylow group for a prime p_j and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Hence, $G = P_1 \cdots P_m$. The group $\phi(H_i)$ is a subgroup of G, so it is nilpotent and, thus, it is also a direct product of its Sylow subgroups for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, $\phi(H_i) = P_{i,1} \cdots P_{i,m}$ such that $P_{i,j} = 1$ or $P_{i,j}$ is a p_i-Sylow subgroup of $\phi(H_i)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. As G, being nilpotent, has a unique p-Sylow subgroup for each prime divisor p of its order, $P_{i,j} \subseteq P_j$ and hence

$$\phi(H_1 \cdots H_n) = P_{1,1} \cdots P_{1,m} P_{2,1} \cdots P_{2,m} \cdots P_{n,1} \cdots P_{n,m}$$

Since $\phi(x) \in G$, there exist unique $a_1 \in P_1, a_2 \in P_2, \ldots, a_m \in P_m$ such that $\phi(x) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$.

For every prime p_j, $1 \leq j \leq m$, consider the canonical projection $\pi_j\colon G \to P_j$. Since $\pi_j \phi$ is a onto homomorphism and $x \in \mathrm{Cl}_{p_j}(H_1 \cdots H_n)$, $a_j \in P_{1,j} \cdots P_{n,j}$. Hence, $\phi(x) \in \phi(H_1 \cdots H_n)$ and, therefore, the intersection over all primes p of the p-closures of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is in the *nil*-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$.

The result follows. □

This yields immediately the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let F be a group and $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be subgroups of F.*

1. *If the subset $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is p-dense in F for every prime p, then the subset $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is nil-dense in F.*
2. *If for every prime p, there exists an integer $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that H_i is p-dense, then the subset $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is nil-dense in F.*

Proposition 2.4. *Let F be a group and $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be subgroups of F. If the subset $H_1 \cup H_2 \ldots \cup H_n$ is p-dense for some prime $p \geq n$ in F, then there exists a positive integer i such that H_i is p-dense.*

Proof. Since the subset $H_1 \cup H_2 \ldots \cup H_n$ is p-dense in F, for every homomorphism $\phi\colon F \to P$ onto a finite p-group P, $\phi(H_1 \cup H_2 \ldots \cup H_n) = P$. The group $\phi(H_i) = P_i$ is a subgroup of P and, thus, it is a p-group, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, $P = P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n$.

Suppose that $P_i \neq P$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, P is noncyclic and it is covered by its proper subgroups. Lemma 116.3.(a) of [5] yields $n \geq p+1$. This contradicts the assumption that $p \geq n$. Therefore, there exists a positive integer i such that $\phi(H_i) = P$.

Now suppose that the subgroup H_i is not p-dense for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, there exist a p-group P_i and an onto homomorphism $\phi_i \colon F \to P_i$ such that $\phi_i(H_i) \neq P_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_n \colon F \to P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n$. Since $P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n$ is a p-group, $\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_n(F)$ is a p-group and, thus, by the above, there exists a positive integer i such that

$$(\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_n)(H_i) = (\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_n)(F).$$

It follows that $\phi_i(H_i) = P_i$, a contradiction. □

We observe that if $p < n$, then in general Proposition 2.4 does not hold. For that purpose, we recall that a group G is said to be minimal nonabelian if it is nonabelian but all its proper subgroups are abelian [4]. The next example shows that the hypothesis that $p \geq n$ in Proposition 2.4 cannot be dropped.

Example 2.5. Suppose that P is a minimal nonabelian p-group. By [5, Lemma 116.1.(a)], the maximum of subsets of pairwise noncommuting elements of a nonabelian group P is $p+1$. Then, P has $p+1$ distinct pairwise maximal subgroups $M_1, \ldots, M_{p+1}$. Now by [4, Lemma 1.1 and Exercise 1, page 22], $|P : Z(P)| = p^2$ and, thus, $|P : M_i| = p$ for every integer $1 \leq i \leq p+1$ and $\left|P : \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq p+1} M_i\right| = p^2$. Suppose that $|P| = p^t$. Since $p^t = (p+1)p^{t-1} - (p+1)p^{t-2} + p^{t-2}$, the group P is covered by its maximal subgroups M_i, $1 \leq i \leq p+1$. Let $\phi \colon F \to P$ be an onto homomorphism on P and $P_i = \phi^{-1}(M_i)$. The subgroup P_i is clopen. Since $F = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq p+1} P_i$, $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq p+1} P_i$ is q-dense for every prime q. But the subgroup P_i is not p-dense for every $1 \leq i \leq p+1$.

The next example shows that, for finitely generated subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ of F with $n > 1$, in general, the subset $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots \cup H_n$ may be p-dense for every prime p, without being *nil*-dense.

Example 2.6. Let the subgroups $H = \langle a^2, a^2b \rangle$ and $K = \langle b^3, ab^3 \rangle$ of the free group F on the set $\{a, b\}$. By [10, Corollary 3.3], the subgroup H is p-dense for every prime except prime 2 and the subgroup K is p-dense for every prime except prime 3. Since H is not 2-dense and K is not 3-dense, there exist 2-group P, 3-group P', and onto homomorphisms $\phi : F \to P$ and $\phi' : F \to P'$ such that $\phi(H) \neq P$ and $\phi'(K) \neq P'$. Consider the homomorphism $\phi \times \phi' : F \to P \times P'$. Suppose that $(\phi \times \phi')^{-1}(\phi \times \phi'(H \cup K)) = F$. We have $\phi^{-1}\phi(H) \neq F$ and $\phi'^{-1}\phi'(K) \neq F$ and $\phi^{-1}\phi(H)$, $\phi'^{-1}\phi'(K)$ are subgroups of F. It is well known that a group is never the union of two proper subgroups. Then $F \setminus \phi^{-1}\phi(H) \cup \phi'^{-1}\phi'(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in F \setminus \phi^{-1}\phi(H) \cup \phi'^{-1}\phi'(K)$. Since $(\phi \times \phi')^{-1}(\phi \times \phi'(H \cup K)) = F$, $\phi \times \phi'(a) \in \phi \times \phi'(H \cup K)$. Hence, there

exists an element $b \in H \cup K$ such that $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$ and $\phi'(a) = \phi'(b)$. This contradicts the assumption that $a \in F \setminus \phi^{-1}\phi(H) \cup \phi'^{-1}\phi'(K)$. Then $H \cup K$ is not *nil*-dense although it is p-dense for every prime.

The last example also shows that, for finitely generated subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ of F with $n > 1$, in general, the subset $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(H_1 \cdots H_n)$ is not equal to $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(H_1)\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(H_2) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(H_n)$. The subgroups H and K satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 2.3.(2) and therefore HK is *nil*-dense. In particular, this provides an example of two *nil*-closed finitely generated subgroups H and K of a free group whose product HK is not *nil*-closed.

Stallings in the seminal paper [16], presented an algorithm that solved the membership problem for finitely generated subgroups H of a free group $F(A)$. Margolis, Sapir and Weil, reformulated it as the minimal automaton $\mathcal{A}(H)$ as follows [10]:

Let $Y = \{h_1, \ldots, h_m\}$ be a finite set of elements of $F(A)$ that generates H and $h_i = h_{i,1} \ldots h_{i,m_i}$ with $h_{i,j} \in A \cup A^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_i$.

- First, construct a set of $|Y|$ circuits around a distinguished vertex 1, with the following convention: an inverse letter $a^{-1}(a \in A)$ gives rise to an a-labeled edge in the reverse direction on the corresponding circuit.
- Then, iteratively identify identically-labeled pairs of edges starting or ending at the same vertex.
- Finally, iteratively remove vertices of degree one except 1.

The graph $\mathcal{A}(H)$ is a reduced inverse automaton over A as follows: the vertices of $\mathcal{A}(H)$ are considered as states, the distinguished vertex 1 as the initial-final state, and if $a \in A$ labels an edge from vertex p to q, then we let $p.a = q$ and $q.a^{-1} = p$. If u is a reduced word in $A \cup A^{-1}$, then $1.u = 1$ if and only if $u \in H$.

We recall the notion of an overgroup of a finitely generated subgroup of the free group from [10]. Let H be finitely generated subgroup of the free group with an inverse automaton $\mathcal{A}(H)$. There are only finitely many subgroups H' of the free group containing H such that the morphism from $\mathcal{A}(H)$ to $\mathcal{A}(H')$ is onto. Such subgroups are called *overgroups* of H. Each overgroup is finitely generated and one can effectively compute the overgroups of H. Now, suppose that K is a finitely generated subgroup of the free group such that $H \subseteq K$. The set $Q(H, K)$ of all prime numbers p such that H is p-dense in K is empty or cofinite; and it is effectively computable [10, Proposition 4.2] , that is, one can effectively list $Q(H, K)$ if it is finite and otherwise list the complement of $Q(H, K)$, which in this case is finite. Let $\mathbb{P}(H)$ denote the set of prime numbers p such that H is p-closed. The set $\mathbb{P}(H)$ is either finite or cofinite, and it is effectively computable [10, Proposition 4.3]. In fact, the proof of that result shows that $\mathbb{P}(H)$ is an effectively computable finite Boolean combination of finite and cofinite sets, namely the sets $\mathbb{P}(K)$ and $Q(H, K)$ where $K \supsetneq H$ is a strict overgroup of H and so one can proceed by induction on the number of states of $\mathcal{A}(H)$.

Margolis, Sapir and Weil presented a procedure to compute the *nil*-closure of H as follows:

(1) compute the set $\mathbb{A}$ of overgroups of H;
(2) compute the subset $\mathbb{A}' = \{S \in \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{P}(S) \neq \emptyset\}$;
(3) compute the intersection of the elements of $\mathbb{A}'$.

Now, let $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be finitely generated subgroups of the free group. By Proposition 2.2, $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(H_1 \cdots H_n)$ is the intersection of all the p-closures of $H_1 \cdots H_n$. Ribes and Zalesskiĭ in [15] proved that if the subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are p-closed for some prime p, then $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is p-closed too. Hence, the p-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is equal to $\mathrm{Cl}_p(H_1)\mathrm{Cl}_p(H_2) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}_p(H_n)$. By [10, Corollary 2.4] the p-closure of a finitely generated subgroup is an overgroup of it.

The procedure to compute the *nil*-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is quite similar to the procedure to compute the *nil*-closure of finitely generated subgroups in [10]:

(1) compute the set $\mathbb{A}_i$ of overgroups of H_i, for $1 \leq i \leq n$;
(2) compute the set

$$\mathbb{C} = \{S_1 \cdots S_n \mid S_i \in \mathbb{A}_i \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(S_1) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{P}(S_n) \neq \emptyset\};$$

(3) to test whether a reduced word w belongs to the *nil*-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$, verify whether $w \in C$ for every $C \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that it is decidable whether or not the set $\mathbb{P}(S_1) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{P}(S_n)$ is empty in the second step, for $S_1 \in \mathbb{A}_1, \ldots, S_n \in \mathbb{A}_n$. Indeed, if $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of indices such that $\mathbb{P}(S_i)$ is finite, then we can effectively compute $A = \bigcap_{i \in J} \mathbb{P}(S_i)$ and $B = \bigcup_{i \notin J} \mathbb{P}(S_i)'$ where $\mathbb{P}(S_i)'$ denotes the finite complement of $\mathbb{P}(S_i)$ for $i \notin J$. Then $\mathbb{P}(S_1) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{P}(S_n) = \emptyset$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$, which is decidable as A and B are effectively computable finite sets.

Recall that a language $L \subseteq A^*$ is said to be *rational* if it may be expressed in terms of the empty language and the languages of the form $\{a\}$ with $a \in A$ by applying a finite number of times the binary operations of taking the union $L \cup K$ of two languages L and K or their concatenation $LK = \{uv \mid u \in L, v \in K\}$, or the unary operation of taking the submonoid L^* generated by L. A language $L \subseteq A^*$ is recognized by a homomorphism $\phi\colon A^* \to S$ into a semigroup S if there exists a subset $P \subseteq S$ such that $L = \phi^{-1}(P)$. Kleene [9] proved that a language L over a finite alphabet is rational if and only if it is recognized by some finite automaton and Myhill [11] proved that a language L is recognized by a finite automaton if and only if it is recognized by a finite semigroup.

Note that Benois proved that membership in rational subsets of finitely generated free groups, such as products of finitely generated subgroups, is decidable [3] or [17] for details. Hence the subsets $S_1 \cdots S_n$ appearing in the third step of the algorithm are computable. Actually, the intersection of rational subsets of a free group is again an effectively computable rational

subset [3], so one could compute an automaton testing membership in the intersection of $\mathbb{C}$.

The existence of the last algorithm yields the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *The nil-closure of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of a free group is computable.*

Proof. We verify correctness of the algorithm. Each element of $\mathbb{C}$ contains $H_1 \cdots H_n$ and is p-closed for some prime p and thus contains the *nil*-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ by Proposition 2.2. Therefore, the *nil*-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is contained in the intersection of $\mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, for each prime p, one has that $\mathrm{Cl}_p(H_1) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}_p(H_n) = \mathrm{Cl}_p(H_1 \cdots H_n)$ appears in $\mathbb{C}$ by the results of [10]. Thus the intersection of $\mathbb{C}$ is exactly the *nil*-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ by Proposition 2.2. □

Let L be a rational language over an alphabet A and H an extension-closed pseudovariety of groups. The H-closure of the rational set L can be written as a finite union of sets of the form $gG_1 \cdots G_n$ with $g \in F(A)$ and the G_i finitely generated closed subgroups, for every integer $1 \leq i \leq n$ [17, Proposition 6.24].

Corollary 2.8. *The nil-closure of a rational subset of A^* is computable and rational.*

Proof. Let L be a rational subset of A^*. We have $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L) = \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L))$. Now by [17, Proposition 6.24], there exist effectively computable finitely generated subgroups $G_{1,j}, \ldots, G_{r_j,j}$, for $1 \leq j \leq s$ of $F(A)$ and elements $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in F(A)$ such that they can be computed and

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L) = g_1 G_{1,1} \cdots G_{r_1,1} \cup \ldots \cup g_s G_{1,s} \cdots G_{r_s,s}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L) &= \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(g_1 G_{1,1} \cdots G_{r_1,1}) \cup \ldots \cup \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(g_s G_{1,s} \cdots G_{r_s,s}) \\ &= g_1 \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(G_{1,1} \cdots G_{r_1,1}) \cup \ldots \cup g_s \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(G_{1,s} \cdots G_{r_s,s}). \end{aligned}$$

Now by Theorem 2.7, $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L)$ is computable.

The rational subsets of the free group are closed under intersection [3]. Since the *nil*-closure of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of a free group is the intersection of finitely many products of finitely generated subgroups, the *nil*-closure of a rational subset of A^* is rational. □

By Example 2.6, we deduce that the *nil*-closure of a rational subset in general is not equal to the intersection over all primes p of the p-closures of it.

3. Decidability of the pseudovariety $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$

The reader is referred to [13] for basic definitions from finite semigroup theory. Let V be a pseudovariety of monoids and H be a pseudovariety of groups. If $\phi\colon M \to H$ is a surjective morphism with M a monoid and H a group, then $N = \phi^{-1}(1)$ is a submonoid of M. In this case, we say that M is a co-extension of H by N. Recall that the pseudovarieties $\mathsf{V} * \mathsf{H}$ and $\mathsf{V}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{H}$ are generated, respectively, by semidirect products of monoids in V with groups in H and by co-extensions of groups in H by monoids in V. In general, $\mathsf{V} * \mathsf{H} \subseteq \mathsf{V}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{H}$.

Recall that a subset $X \subseteq M$ of a finite monoid is called H-pointlike if, for every relational morphism $\phi\colon M \to H$, with $H \in \mathsf{H}$, there exists $h \in H$ such that $X \subseteq \phi^{-1}(h)$ [18]. For example the submonoid of elements of M which relate to 1 under any relational morphism to a member of H is H-pointlike. This subset denoted $K_{\mathsf{H}}(M)$. An element $(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in M^k$ is called an H-liftable k-tuple if, for every relational morphism $\mu\colon M \to G \in \mathsf{H}$, there exist $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$ such that $g_1 \ldots g_k = 1$ and $g_i \in \mu(m_i)$ for all i [17]. Also we recall that BG is the pseudovariety of all finite block groups, that is, finite semigroups in which each element has at most one inverse [13].

Let $\mathrm{reg}(M)$ is the set of regular elements of M. The pseudovariety $\mathrm{Reg}\,\mathsf{V}$ consists of all monoids M such that $\mathrm{reg}(M)$ generates a monoid in V. Recall that a subgroup H of the free group $F(A)$ is H-extendible if $\mathcal{A}(H)$ can be embedded into a complete automaton with transition group in H [10]. The third author proved that if V is decidable and one can decide if a finitely generated subgroup of a finite rank free group is H-extendible, then $(\mathrm{Reg}\,\mathsf{V})\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{H}$ is decidable [18, Corollary 7.2]. Then the pseudovariety $(\mathrm{Reg}\,\mathsf{V})\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ is decidable for every decidable pseudovariety V. Recall that CR is the pseudovariety of completely regular semigroups, DS is the pseudovariety of monoids whose regular $\mathcal{J}$-classes are subsemigroups, $\mathsf{DA} = \mathsf{DS} \cap \mathsf{A}$ and J is the pseudovariety of all finite $\mathcal{J}$-trivial monoids. For every pseudovarieties $\mathsf{CR}, \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{DS}$, DA and J, we have $\mathrm{Reg}(\mathsf{V}) = \mathsf{V}$. Then, the pseudovarieties $\mathsf{CR}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$, $\mathsf{A}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$, $\mathsf{DS}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$, $\mathsf{DA}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ and $\mathsf{J}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ are decidable. In fact, the pseudovariety $\mathsf{V}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ is decidable, for every decidable pseudovariety V. Before proving our claim, we recall a proposition from [17] that shows the relation of H-liftable k-tuple and H-closure of rational subsets of free monoid.

Proposition 3.1. [17, Proposition 7.20] *Let M be a finite A-generated monoid, $(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in M^k$, $L_{m_i} = \{u \in A^* \mid [u]_M = m_i\}$, and H be a pseudovariety of groups. Then $(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ is an H-liftable k-tuple if and only if $1 \in \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{H}}(L_{m_1} \cdots L_{m_k})$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let V be a decidable pseudovariety. Then the pseudovariety $\mathsf{V}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ is decidable.*

Proof. We have $M \in \mathsf{V}\textcircled{\mathsf{m}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ if and only if $K_{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}}(M) \in \mathsf{V}$ [7, Theorem 3.4]. Also, we have $m \in K_{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}}(M)$ if and only if (m) is a $\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$-liftable 1-tuple. By Proposition 3.1, (m) is a $\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$-liftable 1-tuple if and only if $1 \in \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L_m)$.

Now, since by Corollary 2.8, $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L_m)$ is computable and V is decidable, the pseudovariety $\mathsf{V}\textcircled{m}\mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is decidable. □

It is well-known, see for instance [7], that, if V is local, then $\mathsf{V} * \mathsf{H} = \mathsf{V}\textcircled{m}\mathsf{H}$. The pseudovarieties A, DA [1] and DS [8] are local. Therefore, the pseudovarieties $\mathsf{CR} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$, $\mathsf{A} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$, $\mathsf{DS} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$ and $\mathsf{DA} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$ are decidable [18, Corollary 7.3].

Auinger and the third author defined arboreous pseudovarieties of groups. This definition and more details can be found in [2]. They proved that a pseudovariety of groups H is arboreous if and only if $\mathsf{J}\textcircled{m}\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{J}{*}\mathsf{H}$ [2, Theorem 8.3]. They also noted that each arboreous pseudovariety is join irreducible [2, Corollary 2.13] where a pseudovariety H is join irreducible, if $\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{H_1} \vee \mathsf{H_2}$ implies that $\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{H_1}$ or $\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{H_2}$.

Since the pseudovariety $\mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is not join irreducible, it is not arboreous. Then $\mathsf{J}\textcircled{m}\mathsf{G_{nil}} \neq \mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$. As we have already seen the pseudovariety $\mathsf{J}\textcircled{m}\mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is decidable [18, Corollary 8.1]. We show that the pseudovariety $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is also decidable. Before proving this, we shall first recall some definitions and results.

Theorem 3.3. [18, Theorem 8.2] *Let M be a block group and H a pseudovariety of groups. Then $M \in \mathsf{J}*\mathsf{H}$ if and only if, for every pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of regular elements of M which form an H-pointlike set, one has that $\alpha\alpha^{-1}\beta\beta^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1}$.*

Pin and Reutenauer [12] proved that the closure of a rational subset of the free group is a finite union of sets of the form $gG_1G_2\cdots G_r$, where $g \in F(A)$ and $G_1, \ldots, G_r$ are finitely generated subgroups of $F(A)$ [12, Theorem 2.4]. The third author connected the rational language associated to a regular element of a finite monoid to the corresponding language recognized by its Schützenberger graph. For a finite A-generated monoid M and $m \in \mathrm{reg}(M)$, one can effectively compute a finitely generated subgroup $H \subseteq F(A)$ and $w \in F(A)$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L_m) = Hw$ [17, Corollary 7.16].

Lemma 3.4. [17, Lemma 7.23] *Let H be a pseudovariety of groups, M a block group, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{reg}(M)$. Then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is H-pointlike if and only if (α, β^{-1}) is a H-liftable 2-tuple.*

Now by the Theorem 3.3, Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, the following result can be seen as a corollary of the decidability of *nil*-closure of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of the free group.

Theorem 3.5. *The pseudovariety $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G_{nil}}$ is decidable.*

Proof. It is well known [7] that $\mathsf{J}\textcircled{m}\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{BG}$ and $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G} \subseteq \mathsf{J}\textcircled{m}\mathsf{G}$. Then $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G}_{nil} \subseteq \mathsf{BG}$. Suppose that M is a block group and A-generated. By Theorem 3.3, a block group M is in $\mathsf{J} * \mathsf{G}_{nil}$ if and only if, for every pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of regular elements of M which form a $\mathsf{G_{nil}}$-pointlike set, the equality $\alpha\alpha^{-1}\beta\beta^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1}$ holds. Then, it suffices to check that, for every pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of regular elements of M whether it is decidable to check that $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is

a $\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$-pointlike set. In fact, by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, it is decidable whether $1 \in \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L_\alpha L_{\beta^{-1}})$. We have $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L_\alpha L_{\beta^{-1}}) = \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L_\alpha L_{\beta^{-1}}))$. By the observation preceding the statement of the theorem, there exist effectively computable finitely generated subgroups H and K and words $w, u \in F(A)$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L_\alpha) = Hw$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L_{\beta^{-1}}) = Ku$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L_\alpha)\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathsf{G}}(L_{\beta^{-1}}) = HwKu = wuu^{-1}w^{-1}Hwuu^{-1}Ku = wuH'K',$$

whence, $\mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(L_\alpha L_{\beta^{-1}}) = wu\ \mathrm{Cl}_{nil}(H'K')$. Now, as membership in the *nil*-closure of the product of two subgroups is decidable, the result follows. □

Acknowledgments. The work of the first and second authors was supported, in part, by CMUP (UID/MAT/00144/2013), which is funded by FCT (Portugal) with national (MEC) and European structural funds through the programs FEDER, under the partnership agreement PT2020. The work of the second author was also partly supported by the FCT post-doctoral scholarship SFRH/BPD/89812/ 2012. The third author was supported in part by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#245268 to Benjamin Steinberg), the Binational Science Foundation of Israel and the US (#2012080), a CUNY Collaborative Research Incentive Grant #2123 and by a PSC-CUNY grant.

References

[1] J. Almeida. A syntactical proof of locality of DA. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 6(2):165–177, 1996.

[2] K. Auinger and B. Steinberg. The geometry of profinite graphs with applications to free groups and finite monoids. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(2):805–851 (electronic), 2004.

[3] M. Benois. Parties rationnelles du groupe libre. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 269:A1188–A1190, 1969.

[4] Y. Berkovich. *Groups of prime power order. Vol. 1*, volume 46 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2008. With a foreword by Zvonimir Janko.

[5] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko. *Groups of prime power order. Volume 3*, volume 56 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2011.

[6] M. Hall, Jr. A topology for free groups and related groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 52:127–139, 1950.

[7] K. Henckell, S. Margolis, J.-E. Pin, and J. Rhodes. Ash's type II theorem, profinite topology and Mal′cev products. I. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 1(4):411–436, 1991.

[8] P. R. Jones and P. G. Trotter. Locality of DS and associated varieties. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 104(3):275–301, 1995.

[9] S. C. Kleene. Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata. In *Automata studies*, Annals of mathematics studies, no. 34, pages 3–41. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.

[10] S. Margolis, M. Sapir, and P. Weil. Closed subgroups in pro-**V** topologies and the extension problem for inverse automata. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 11(4):405–445, 2001.

[11] J. Myhill. Finite automata and the representation of events. Technical Report 57624, Wright Air Development Command, 1957.

[12] J.-E. Pin and C. Reutenauer. A conjecture on the Hall topology for the free group. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 23(4):356–362, 1991.
[13] J. Rhodes and B. Steinberg. *The q-theory of finite semigroups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2009.
[14] L. Ribes and P. A. Zalesskiĭ. On the profinite topology on a free group. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 25(1):37–43, 1993.
[15] L. Ribes and P. A. Zalesskiĭ. The pro-p topology of a free group and algorithmic problems in semigroups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 4(3):359–374, 1994.
[16] J. R. Stallings. Topology of finite graphs. *Invent. Math.*, 71(3):551–565, 1983.
[17] B. Steinberg. Finite state automata: a geometric approach. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(9):3409–3464 (electronic), 2001.
[18] B. Steinberg. Inevitable graphs and profinite topologies: some solutions to algorithmic problems in monoid and automata theory, stemming from group theory. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 11(1):25–71, 2001.

J. Almeida and M.H. Shahzamanian, Centro de Matemática e Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

E-mail address: jalmeida@fc.up.pt; m.h.shahzamanian@fc.up.pt

B. Steinberg, Department of Mathematics, City College of New York, New York City, NY 10031.

E-mail address: bsteinberg@ccny.cuny.edu